<u>REMARKS</u>

Claims 1-15 remain herein. Claims 1-15 have been amended. Support for the amendments may be found throughout the specification (see, e.g., compound (H3) at page 26 of the specification).

Applicants' thank the Examiner for the telephonic interview conducted on February 25, 2008. During the interview agreement was reached that Inoue et al. U.S. Patent 6,344,283 does not teach the claimed aromatic <u>diamine</u> derivative represented by following general formula (1):

$$A-L-B$$
 (1)

wherein A represents a diarylamino group represented by:

B represents a diarylamino group represented by:

$$-N$$
Ar³

Ar¹ to Ar⁴ each independently representing a substituted or unsubstituted aryl group having 5 to 50 nuclear atoms, with the proviso that Ar¹ to Ar⁴ are not substituted with an amino group. The Examiner agreed that such a limitation would potentially distinguish applicants' claim from Inoue. The arguments made during the interview are included in the remarks below herein.

Serial No. 10/542,105 Atty Dkt No. 28955.4030

1. Claims 1-6 and 8-15 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) over Inoue et al. U.S. Patent 6,344,283.

Claims 1 and 8 recite an aromatic diamine derivative represented by general formula (1):

$$A-L-B$$
 (1)

wherein A represents a diarylamino group represented by:

B represents a diarylamino group represented by:

$$-N$$
Ar⁴

and Ar^1 to Ar^4 each independently represents a substituted or unsubstituted aryl group having 5 to 50 nuclear atoms, with the proviso that Ar^1 to Ar^4 are not substituted with an amino group.

Because applicants' claimed formula (1) recites an aromatic <u>diamine</u> derivative and <u>Ar1</u> to <u>Ar4</u> are not substituted with an amino group, formula (1) can have only two nitrogen atoms in the main skeleton.

Inoue does not disclose applicants' claimed formula (1). Inoue discloses the following formula V:

Serial No. 10/542,105 Atty Dkt No. 28955.4030

$$(V)$$

$$(R_{01})r_1$$

$$(R_{04})r_4$$

$$(R_{04})r_4$$

$$(R_{03})r_3$$

Contrary to the Examiner's assertion in the Advisory Action of January 18, 2008, R_{01} to R_{04} cannot be phenyl because they are one of the following groups:

See, e.g., Inoue at Abstract; column 5, line 66 to column 6, line 20; and claim 1. Thus, unlike applicants' claimed formula (1), in Inoue formula (V), the phenyl groups are substituted with R_{01} to R_{04} which include an amino group.

Thus, Inoue does not disclose all elements of applicants' claims and, therefore, it is not an adequate basis for a rejection under § 102(e). Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and withdrawal of this rejection.

Serial No. 10/542,105 Atty Dkt No. 28955.4030

2. Claim 7 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) over Nakaya et al. U.S. Patent 5,792,557. The Office Action states that applicants' claimed compound (H10) reads on Nakaya's formula (1) when r1 to r4 are 1, r5 and r6 are 0, R₁ and R₃ are phenyl, and R₂ and R₄ are naphthyl.

Applicants' compound (H10) has the following structural formula:

Nakaya does not disclose compound (H10). Nakaya's formula (1) is the following:

$$(R_{3})_{r3} = (R_{4})_{r4}$$

$$(R_{5})_{r5} = (R_{6})_{r6}$$

$$(R_{1})_{r1} = (R_{2})_{r2}$$

Serial No. 10/542,105

Atty Dkt No. 28955.4030

When Nakaya's R₂ and R₄ groups are naphthyl groups, the resulting groups linked to the

nitrogen atom are not naphthyl groups as in applicants' claimed compound (H10) but phenyl

groups substituted with naphthyl groups.

Thus, Nakaya does not disclose all elements of applicants' claims and, therefore, it is not

an adequate basis for a rejection under § 102(b). Applicants respectfully request reconsideration

and withdrawal of this rejection.

For all of the foregoing reasons, all claims 1-15 are now fully in condition for allowance,

which is respectfully requested. The PTO is hereby authorized to charge or credit any necessary

fees to Deposit Account No. 19-4293. Should the Examiner deem that any further amendments

would be desirable in placing this application in even better condition for issue, she is invited to

telephone applicant's undersigned representative.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: March 28,2008

Houda MORAL Roger W. Parkhurst

Reg. No. 25,177

Houda Morad

Registration No. 56,742

STEPTOE & JOHNSON LLP 1330 Connecticut Ave., N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036

Tel: (202) 429-3000

Fax: (202) 429-3902

- 12 -